

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,166	07/12/2004	Albert Maria Arnold Rijkaert	2069.055US1	5382	
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 09/16/200 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			TESLOVICH, TAMARA		
MINNEAPOL	1S, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2437			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/16/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/501,166	RIJKAERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tamara Teslovich	2437		

	Tamara Teslovich	2437						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places th application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	- Notice of Association Contracts the	data - 6.680 6.00-6	W 1 b -					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's ranguments have been considered in full but are not persuasive. Applicant's first set of arguments directed towards Candelove's alleged failure to teach or suggest "each of the mitment control messages is linked to a respective time stamp, the respective time stamp associated with a time-stamp value indicative of a time at which the entitlement control messages linked to the time stamp was distributed" as claimed in claim 1 are not persuasive in view of Figure 60 clearly depicing "lime x (current)" within Candelor's ECM. This time stamp is not only included within the ECM, but it notes the time at which the particular ECM was created. Applicant's next set of arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.11(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the reference. Applicant states that Candelore fails to teach or suggest "sending an entitlement management messages to the secure device, the entitlement management message including a specification of a mage of time-stamp values and entiting the secure device to enable decryption of the units of information that are linked to imestamps with time stamp values in that range" but fails to specifically point out or address those portions previously cited by the Examiner. In response to applicant's last set of arguments concerning the use of the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it mover to the administrate set and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it mover to the tamp and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior a